#### ISAKOS 2015, Lyon Fresh Osteochondral Allografts (OCA) in the Knee

Comparison of Primary Transplantation Versus Transplantation After Failure of Previous Subchondral Marrow Stimulation

Guilherme C. Gracitelli, MD

Gokhan Meric, MD

Dustin Briggs, MD

Pamela A. Pulido, BSN

Julie C. McCauley, MPHc

João Carlos Belloti, MD, PhD

William D. Bugbee, MD









# Disclosure

 No conflicts are reported for Gracitelli, Meric, Pulido, McCauley.

 Bugbee is a paid consultant for DePuy, Zimmer, Zimmer Biologic, Smith & Nephew, Joint Restoration Foundation, Moximed, Organogensis, and Orthoalign

## Introduction

- Subchondral Marrow stimulation (SMS) can cause a stiffer and harder subchondral plate, intralesional osteophytes, and cystic formation
- The aim of the present study was to examine the influence of previous cartilage repairs in subsequent OCA transplantation
- We designed a retrospective matched-pair cohort of (Group 1) primary OCA transplantation compared with (Group 2) OCA transplantation after failure of previous cartilage repair surgery



## **Material and Methods**

- Group 1: 46 knees that had OCA transplantation performed as a primary treatment
- Group 2: 46 knees that underwent OCA transplantation after failure of previous subchondral marrow stimulation (SMS)
- Patients in each group were matched for:
  - Age (± 5 years),
  - Diagnosis (osteochondral lesion, degenerative chondral lesion, traumatic chondral injury) and
  - Graft size (small <5 cm<sup>2</sup>; medium 5-10 cm<sup>2</sup>, large >10 cm<sup>2</sup>).



## **Material and Methods**

- 91.3% of knees in group 1 and 95.7% in group 2 were located in femoral condyle
- Functional outcomes were evaluated using the modified Merle d'Aubigné-Postel (18-point) scale, IKDC subjective knee evaluation form, KOOS scale, and KS-F scale.
- Failure was defined as any reoperation resulting in removal of the graft, such as allograft revision and any form of arthroplasty.



# Results

 At 10 years of follow-up, survivorship of the graft was 87.4% and 86% in Groups 1 and Group 2.



UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO PAULO

## Results

#### • 24% in Group 1 had reoperations and 44% in Group 2 (p = 0.04)

Reoperation	Group 1	Group 2
Arthroscopic debridement, diagnosis, or loose body removal	6	15
Meniscectomy	_	3
Meniscal repair	1	3
Extensor mechanism realignment	_	1
Lateral retinacular release	1	2
Osteotomy	_	1
Hardware removal	_	3
Reoperation defined as allograft failure		
Revision of allograft	2	3
Total knee arthroplasty	3	4

Frequency and Type of Reoperations After OCA Transplantation<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Values are numbers of knees.



#### Results

- 11% of failures in Group 1 and 15% in Group 2 (p = 0.53)
- 87% of patients in Group 1 and 97% in Group 2 were "satisfied" or "extremely satisfied" with the OCA transplantation.
- Both groups showed improvement in pain and function on all subjective scores.

	Group 1 (	Group 1 (Primary Treatment)		Group 2 (Failed Cartilage Repair)			
Measure	Preoperative	Follow-up	Difference	Preoperative	Follow-up	Difference	P Value <sup>b</sup>
Modified Merle d'Aubigné-Postel (18 points)	12.7	16.6	3.9	12.9	16.2	3.2	.46
% Excellent (18)	_	39		2.6	32		
% Good (15-17)	18	49		21	55		
% Fair (12-14)	58	13		50	8		
% Poor (<12)	25	_		26.3	5		
IKDC							
Pain	6.2	2.4	-4.2	5.4	2.6	-3.2	.09
Function	2.9	7.8	5.1	3.5	7.5	4.4	.34
Total	36.9	78.2	45.6	41.8	78.8	38.3	.29
KS-F	68.9	89.5	23.8	68.2	91.9	24.8	.86
KOOS subscale							
Symptoms	57.8	87.8	27.5	53.0	79.8	31.2	.81
Pain	65.6	89.9	31.2	64.3	82.1	10.0	.06
ADL	72.0	94.5	29.3	70.9	87.1	14.0	.11
Sport/Rec	37.5	72.7	40.6	30.6	70.7	43.3	.41
QOL	28.2	69.5	45.5	25.0	64.6	47.0	.92

Pain and Function Measured Preoperatively and at Follow-up<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>ADL, activities of daily living; IKDC, International Knee Documentation Committee; KOOS, Knee Osteoarthritis and injury Outcome Score; KS-F, Knee Society function; QOL, quality of life; Sport/Rec, sport and recreation.

 $^{b}P$  value for Mann-Whitney U test to compare difference scores between groups (change from preoperative state to latest follow-up) and chi-square test to compare postoperative score distributions between groups on the modified Merle d'Aubigné-Postel (18-point) scale.

#### Conclusion

Despite the higher reoperation rate in the previous treated group, previous cartilage surgery did not adversely affect the survivorship and functional outcome of OCA transplantation.



#### References

Behery O, Siston RA, Harris JD, Flanigan DC. Treatment of cartilage defects of the knee: expanding on the existing algorithm. Clin J Sport Med. 2014;24:21-30.

2. Blackman AJ, Smith MV, Flanigan DC, Matava MJ, Wright RW, Brophy RH. Correlation between magnetic resonance imaging and clinical outcomes after cartilage repair surgery in the knee: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Sports Med. 2013;41:1426-1434.

3. Bugbee WD, Khanna G, Cavallo M, McCauley JC, Go<sup>°</sup> rtz S, Brage ME. Bipolar fresh osteochondral allografting of the tibiotalar joint. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2013;95:426-432.

4. Carey JL, Grimm NL. Treatment algorithm for osteochondritis dissecans of the knee. Clin Sports Med. 2014;33:375-382.

5. Chahal J, Gross AE, Gross C, et al. Outcomes of osteochondral allograft transplantation in the knee. Arthroscopy. 2013;29:575-588.

6. Chu CR, Convery FR, Akeson WH, Meyers M, Amiel D. Articular cartilage transplantation: clinical results in the knee. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1999;360:159-168.

7. Curl W, Krome J, Gordon E, Rushing J. Cartilage injuries: a review of 31,516 knee arthroscopies. Arthroscopy. 1997;13:456-460.

8. Davies-Tuck ML, Wluka AE, Wang Y, et al. The natural history of cartilage defects in people with knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2008;16:337-342.

9. de Windt TS, Welsch GH, Brittberg M, et al. Is magnetic resonance imaging reliable in predicting clinical outcome after articular cartilage repair of the knee? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Sports Med. 2013;41:1695-1702.

10. Emmerson BC, Go<sup>••</sup> rtz S, Jamali AA, Chung C, Amiel D, Bugbee WD. Fresh osteochondral allografting in the treatment of osteochondritis dissecans of the femoral condyle. Am J Sports Med. 2007;35:907-914.